

Interconnection Feasibility Study Report GIP-068-FEAS-R2

Generator Interconnection Request #68
35MW Wind Generating Facility
Digby County, NS

August 17, 2007

Control Centre Operations
Nova Scotia Power Inc.

Executive Summary

The Interconnection Customer submitted an Interconnection Request (IR 068) to Nova Scotia Power Inc. (NSPI) for a proposed 35 MW wind Generation Facility interconnected to the NSPI system via the 69kV substation 77V-Conway.

At the proposed interconnection location, the NSPI system will need upgrades to accommodate the addition of this Generating Facility.

Assuming that the projects ahead of this project in the Generation Interconnection Queue (Queue) do not proceed, required additions/changes to NSPI systems for this project with a maximum generating capacity of 20MW has an estimated (nonbinding) cost of \$1,800,000 are:

- Construct approximately 5 km of 69kV transmission line, 556 MCM ACSR (Generation Facility to 77V-Conway)
- 69kV switchgear for line termination at 77V-Conway
- Protection upgrade at 13V-Gulch
- Control and Communications between Generation Facility, 77V-Conway and NSPI Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system (to be specified)

To generate at the requested 35 MW with occasional curtailments to 25MW will require the upgrade of L-5533 from 77V-Conway to 13V-Gulch and has an estimated additional cost of \$2,600,000.

To generate at 35MW, without restrictions (other than those imposed on all facilities for system security) will require the upgrade of L-5531 from 13V-Gulch to 15V-Sissiboo in addition to upgrading L-5533. The nonbinding estimated cost of additions/changes to NSPI systems for unrestricted operation for a 35MW project is \$10,200,000. See Sections 6, 7 and 10 for details.

The Generation Facility requirements are listed in Section 9.

The SIS will determine any costs or upgrades that may be required if projects ahead of this project in the Queue proceed.

Table of Contents

		Page
Exe	ecutive Summary	ii
1	Introduction	1
2	Scope	1
3	Assumptions	2
4	Projects with Higher Queue Positions	2
5	Short-Circuit Duties	3
6	Thermal Limits	4
7	Voltage Control	5
8	System Limitations (System Security)	5
9	Expected Facilities Required for Interconnection	5
10	Magnitude of NSPI Interconnection Facilities Cost Estimate	6
11	Preliminary Scope of System Impact Study	7

1 Introduction

The Interconnection Customer submitted an Interconnection Request (IR) to Nova Scotia Power Inc. (NSPI) for a proposed 35 MW wind Generation Facility interconnected to the NSPI system at 77V-Conway Substation via a new (to be constructed) 69kV line. The Interconnection Customer signed a Feasibility Study Agreement to study the connection of their proposed generation to the NSPI transmission system. This report is the result of that Agreement. The generation site would be located northwest of Digby in Digby County and connect to 77V-Conway Substation via a newly constructed 69kV line approximately 5 km in length (connecting to the Interconnection Customer's substation).

2 Scope

The Interconnection Feasibility Study (FEAS) report shall provide the following information:

- i. Preliminary identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection
- ii. Preliminary identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection
- iii. Preliminary description and non-binding estimated cost of facilities required to interconnect the Generating Facility to the NSPI Transmission System, the time to construct such facilities, and to address any identified short circuit and power flow issues

The Scope of this FEAS includes modeling the power system in normal state (with all transmission elements in service) under anticipated load and generation dispatch conditions.

For Energy Resources Integration Services (ERIS), if the FEAS determines that transmission upgrades are required as a result of thermal overload, voltage violation, or equipment rating, then the FEAS will determine the amount of generation that can be installed without necessitating major transmission upgrades. The FEAS will provide a preliminary high level cost estimated of the direct interconnection costs.

A more detailed analysis of the technical implications of this development will be included in the System Impact Study (SIS) report. This may include system stability analysis, single or double contingencies, off-nominal frequency operation, off-nominal voltage operation, low voltage ride through, harmonic current distortion, harmonic voltage distortion, system protection, special protection systems (SPS), automatic general control(AGC) and islanded operation. The impacts on neighbouring power systems and the requirements set by reliability authorities such as Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC), North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), and NSPI

will be addressed at that time. The SIS may identify additional costs and upgrades that were not identified in this FEAS.

A separate Facilities Study will follow the SIS in order to ascertain the final cost estimate for the transmission upgrade requirements.

3 Assumptions

The Point of Interconnection and configuration studied is as follows:

- i. 35 MW wind farm comprised of 24 1.545 MW General Electric 1.5 sle wind turbines, and has requested ERIS service type
- ii. The wind Generation Facility is located approximately 5 km from the 77V-Conway Substation. 77V-Conway is connected the 69kV system via L-5533 to 13V-Gulch Hydro
- iii. Transformer impedance assumed at 7.25 % (on ONAN Base), rated 47.5/50 MVA
- iv. The FEAS analysis is based on the assumption that IRs higher in the Generation Interconnection Queue (Queue) will not proceed, but the impacts of higher Queued IRs are reviewed qualitatively.

4 Projects with Higher Queue Positions

As of July 2007, the following IRs can proceed ahead of this project due to their position in the Queue and have the status indicated.

In Service and committed generation projects

```
Wind Generation – 30.5 MW – connected to L-5027 (in-service)
```

Wind Generation – 14.0 MW – connected to L-5573 (in-service)

Wind Generation – 20.0 MW – distribution connected (in-service)

Wind Generation – 40.0 MW – distribution connected (committed)

Generation projects with a higher Queue position, not yet committed

```
IR 008 Wind – Guysborough, L-5527B, 15 MW – FAC Complete
```

IR 017 Wind – Lunenburg, L-6004, 100MW – SIS in Progress

IR 023 Wind – Inverness, L-6549, 100MW – SIS in Progress

IR 042 Wind – Cape Breton, New 138kV line, 100MW – SIS in Progress

IR 044 Wind – Colchester, L-6503, 35MW – FEAS in Progress

IR 045 Wind – Cumberland, L-6535, 35MW – SIS Complete

IR 046 Wind - Colchester, L-6513, 32MW - FEAS in Progress

IR 056 Wind – Cumberland, L-5058, 60MW – FEAS in Progress

IR 067 Wind – Annapolis, L-5026, 40MW – FEAS in Progress

All of the above projects can have a direct impact on this project for issues related to the management of the inter-provincial and inter-regional ties and on balancing the NSPI system.

The SIS will be based on the assumption that all projects that are ahead of this project in the Queue are in-service. In particular, IR 017 connected to L-6004 and IR 067 connected to L-5026 will change the flows on the transmission lines in the Valley and could have a significant impact of the system capacity for additional generation in the area. The SIS will determine any resulting upgrades/requirements. Should any project ahead of this project in the Queue be withdrawn or changed, the System Impact Study for this project must be updated according to the Standard Generator Interconnection Procedures (GIP), at the Interconnection Customer's expense.

5 Short-Circuit Duties

The maximum (future) expected short-circuit levels are 5000MVA and 3500MVA on 138 and 69kV systems respectively.

The short-circuit levels in the area before and after this development are provided in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1: Short-Circuit Levels. Three-phase MVA ⁽¹⁾			
Location	This Generating Facility in service	This Generating Facility not in service	
All transmission facilities in service			
77V-Conway	314	220	
13V-Gulch	428	344	
74V-Cornwallis	346	301	
12V-Lequille	384	350	
81V-Annapolis	344	319	
70V-Bridgetown Rural	322	309	
11V-Paradise	361	347	
IR 068 HV	298	200	
Local Generation Off and L-5026 (13V-Gulch to 11V-Paradise) Out			
77V-Conway	205	116	
13V-Gulch	218	141	
74V-Cornwallis	Out	Out	
12V-Lequille	132	132	
81V-Annapolis	128	128	
70V-Bridgetown Rural	166	166	

Table 5-1: Short-Circuit Levels. Three-phase MVA (1)			
Location	This Generating Facility in service	This Generating Facility not in service	
Local Generation Off and L-5026 (13V-Gulch to 11V-Paradise) Out			
11V-Paradise	189	189	
IR 068 HV	218	110	

⁽¹⁾ Classical fault study

Although the actual increase in short-circuit levels will be dependent on the specific type of generator installed, the increase will be insignificant and not a cause for concern

Upgrades to protection and control facilities will be required at 77V-Conway and possibly 13V-Gulch, depending on the results of the SIS.

6 Thermal Limits

This facility would be interconnected to NSPI by constructing approximately 5 km of 69kV transmission line to 13V-Conway Substation. Conway Substation is connected to the grid via a 13.2km (2/0ACSR) 69kV line, L-5533, to 13V-Gulch Hydro. From Gulch Hydro, lines L-5026, L-5531 and L-5532 transfer power out of the area. The ratings of the aforementioned 69kV lines are limited by ground clearance and conductor size.

Under system normal conditions during the summer months, this development will cause an overload on L-5533 from 77V-Conway to 13V-Gulch. The 13.2 km line will need to be upgraded to 556 MCM ACSR or this facility curtailed to 25MW when summer line ratings are in effect.

Load Flow modeling for this Generation Facility indicates overloads on L-5531 from 13V-Gulch to 15V-Sissiboo for a limited number of first contingencies and maintenance conditions. L-5531 (25 km) would have to be upgraded to 556 MCM ACSR, or this facility curtailed to 25MW for the duration of the limiting condition.

In addition to this Generating Facility, there are 2 projects that precede it in the Queue (IR 017 and IR 067) and have the potential to cause thermal overload on the transmission lines and equipment in the region. To generate at 35MW, with IR 017 and IR067 in service, L-5025, L-5026, L-5531, L-5532, L-5535 and L-5541 will need upgrades to relaying and metering equipment, breakers, switches and /or line thermal ratings for system normal and first contingency operation. The portion of any upgrades and changes to NSPI systems due to IR 068, with multiple projects in service, cannot be determined until preceding projects are confirmed or removed from the Queue. The SIS will determine the details of operational restrictions and the costs of any upgrades required.

7 Voltage Control

The ratio of short-circuit level to generating capacity under normal system conditions is 5.7 (200/35) and is 3.1 (110/35) with low hydro dispatch and L-5026 out of service. Such a low ratio in an indication of voltage control issues. FEAS load flow analysis indicates that the voltage levels at 77V-Conway will vary by more 2.5 % when going from minimum to maximum generation. The tentative limit that can be placed at this location, without major upgrades is 20MW. Care should be taken with regard to the selection of generator and controls to ensure good voltage control and acceptable levels of voltage flicker. The SIS will more closely define type of voltage control and associated capacity limit for this location. To remove the 20MW limit, L-5533 from 77V-Conway to 13V-Gulch will require an upgrade to 556 MCM ACSR.

The facilities included with this installation must be such that the facility is capable of providing both lagging and leading power factor of 0.95, measured at the 69kV Point of Change of Ownership, when the facility is delivering 35 MW at the Point of Change of Ownership. A centralized controller will be required which adjusts individual generator real and reactive power output, in real time, and regulates the voltage at the 69kV Point of Change of Ownership. The voltage controls must be responsive to voltage deviations, be equipped with a voltage set-point control, and also have facility that will slowly adjust the set-point over several minutes (5-10) to maintain reactive power just within the individual generators capabilities. The latter control may be referred to as a slow-Q control. Details of the specific control features, control strategy and setting will be reviewed and addressed in the SIS.

NSPI must have manual and remote control of the voltage set-point, the slow-Q controls and reactive power output from this facility.

This facility must also have low voltage ride-through capability as per FERC order 661A. The SIS will state specific options, controls and additional facilities that are required to achieve this.

8 System Limitations (System Security)

The SIS will determine any facility changes required to maintain compliance with NERC/NPCC standards for good utility practice.

9 Expected Facilities Required for Interconnection

Based on the above discussion, this project is feasible at the requested capacity, subject to the SIS. It is expected that the following facilities will be required for operation under system normal conditions, assuming that the projects ahead of this project in the Queue do not proceed.

Additions/Changes to the Transmission Provider's Interconnection Facilities

- i. Construct approximately 5 km of 69kV transmission line, 556 MCM ACSR (Generation Facility to 77V-Conway)
- ii. 69kV switchgear for line termination at 77V-Conway
- iii. Protection upgrade at 13V-Gulch
- iv. Control and Communications between the Generating Facility, 77V-Conway and NSPI Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system (to be specified)
- v. Upgrade L-5533 from 77V-Conway to 13V-Gulch Hydro (to remove 20MW project limit)
- vi. Upgrade L-5531 from 15V-Sissiboo to 13V-Gulch Hydro (to remove occasional curtailment to 25 MW)

Additions/Changes to be included at the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facility

- i. 69kV Interconnection Substation. This will include 69kV circuit breaker and protections as acceptable to NSPI, a Remote Terminal Unit (RTU) to interface with NSPI's SCADA with telemetry and controls as required by NSPI.
- ii. Facilities to provide 0.95 leading and lagging power factor when delivering rated output (35 MW) all at Point of Change of Ownership when the voltage at that point is operating between 95% and 105% of nominal.
- iii. Low voltage ride-through capability.
- iv. Real-time monitoring RTUs of the Interconnection.
- v. Facilities for NSPI to execute high speed rejection of generation (transfer trip).

10 Magnitude of NSPI Interconnection Facilities Cost Estimate

Estimates for NSPI Interconnections Facilities are included in Table 10-1.

Table 10-1: Cost Estimates			
	Determined Cost Items	Estimate	
i	Build 5 km of 69kV transmission line	\$1,000,000	
ii	69kV switchgear at 77V-Conway	\$50,000	
iii	Control and Communications between NSPI and customer	\$500,000	
iv	Protection Upgrade at 13V-Gulch	\$100,000	

Table 10-1: Cost Estimates		
	Determined Cost Items	Estimate
٧	Upgrade L-5533 to remove 20MW limit	\$2,640,000
vi	Upgrade L-5531 to remove curtailment	\$5,000,000
vii	Contingency (10%)	\$929,000
	Total of Determined Cost Items	\$10,219,000
	To be Determined Costs	
viii	Protection coordination for L-5025, 5026, 5531 and L-5532 as required	TBD (SIS)
ix	System Upgrades due to higher Queued IRs	TBD (SIS)

To reduce the project size to 20MW and avoid major NSPI system upgrades has an NSPI interconnection cost estimate of \$1,800,000. The SIS can provide details on the nature and expected duration of operation restrictions due to seasonal ratings and first contingency operation. System upgrades to accommodate a project size of 35MW has an estimated NSPI interconnection cost of \$10,200,000.

The above estimate includes the additions/changes to NSPI systems only. The cost of the customer's interconnection substation is not included. All costs of associated facilities required at the Interconnection Customer's substation and the Generating Facility are in addition to the above estimate. Items identified as TBD will be assessed in the SIS. The estimated time to construct the "Determined Cost Items" will be 12 to 24 months, provided:

- accessible and tree-cleared lands or Rights Of Way (ROW) acceptable to NSPI for design and construction of any required new transmission line or Transmission Provider's substation are provided.
- that no more than 2 to 3 projects per year go forward, and assuming all easements and permits are provided and complete.

11 Preliminary Scope of System Impact Study

The following provides a preliminary scope of work for the subsequent SIS. The SIS will include a more comprehensive assessment of the technical issues and requirements to interconnect generation as requested. In addition, this will include contingency analysis, system stability and ride through and operation following a contingency (N-1 operation). The SIS must determine the facilities required to operate this facility at full capacity, withstand any contingencies (as defined by NPCC/NERC) and identify any restrictions that must be placed on the system following a first contingency loss.

The SIS will confirm the options and ancillary equipment that the customer must install to control flicker, voltage and ensure that the facility has the required ride-through capability.

The following outline provides the minimum scope that must be complete in order to assess the impacts. It is recognized the actual scope may deviate, to achieve the primary objectives.

The assessment will consider but not be limited to the following:

- i. Facilities that the customer must install to meet the requirements of the GIP
- ii. The minimum transmission additions/upgrades that are necessary to permit operation of this Generating Facility, under all dispatch conditions, catering to the first contingencies listed
- iii. Guidelines and restrictions applicable to first contingency operation (curtailments etc)
- iv. System loss impacts
- v. Underfrequency load shedding impacts

The SIS will be based on the cases listed in Table 11-1.

Table 11-1: Power Flow Base Case and Variations		
Winter Peak	All Hydro and Tidal on	
	Tidal off	
Fall Peak	All Hydro and Tidal off	
	All Hydro and Tidal on	
Summer Peak	All Hydro and Tidal off	
	Hydro off except Mersey system 50%, Tidal 100%	
Summer Light Load	All hydro and tidal off	
	Hydro off except Mersey system 50%, Tidal 100%	

In each case, accommodations for the addition of wind generation will be made to the dispatch by changing the unit commitment for that day, ensuring that sufficient capacity is available to meet the daily peak load. The assumptions regulating regulation, load following and unit commitment at the minimum daily load (two shifting etc.) will be noted.

To complete this assessment the following first contingencies, as a minimum, will be assessed:

- L-6004
- L-6012
- L-6013
- L-5025
- L-5026
- L-5531
- L-5532
- L-5535
- L-5541
- L-6024
- L-6021
- 43V-613 (taking out 43V-B61, L-6013, L-6012, 43V-C61 and 43V-T61)
- 51V-521 (taking out 51V-B51 and L-6013)
- 13V-516 (taking out 13V-B51 and L5026)
- 9W-500

To complete this assessment the dynamics of the following first contingencies, as a minimum, will be assessed:

- 3 phase fault on L-5025
- 3 phase fault on L-5531
- 3 phase fault on L-5532
- 3 phase fault on L-5533
- 3 phase fault on L-5535
- 3 phase fault at 11V-B51
- 3 phase fault at 13V-B31

After determining the changes/additions that are required to facilitate this interconnection "N-1" operation will be assessed. The objective is to determine the operating restriction or curtailments that must be enforced to ensure secure operation of the system. This provides a thorough assessment to ensure that the facilities are adequate and the customer business risks are conveyed.

Control Centre Operations – Interconnection Feasibility Study Report

- Contingency analysis, as required
- Dynamic simulation, as required
- Determination of total generation to be constrained

The "N-1" assessment will include, but not be limited to, the following: The "N-1" assessment will determine the operation constraints that must be applied for "N-1" operation after the facility upgrades/additions that are recommended, for the interconnection, are constructed.